UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

Ī	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/810,382	03/26/2004	Chun-Li Fang	N1085-00264	8625
	54657 DUANE MORI	7590 12/20/200 RIS LLP	6	N1085-00264 8625  EXAMINER  GROSSO, HARRY A  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3781  DELIVERY MODE	INER
	IP DEPARTMI	` '		GROSSO, HARRY A	
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3781	
_	•				
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		NTHS	12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			M _				
	Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurs		/810,382	FANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summar	Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
		rry A. Grosso	3781				
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
/ <b>—</b> ···	,—						
closed in accordance with the p	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
, , , <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to r		ction requirement.					
o) are subject to r	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None		,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev		Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/04.	3/08)	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3781

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the clamp that releasably secures said bottom closed end of said flexible bag (claim 5) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/810,382 Page 3

Art Unit: 3781

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 14 recites the limitation "said exhaust hood" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears claim 14 should be dependent on claim 9 and will be read as such for the purposes of this action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bernstein et al (5,385,259) (Bernstein).
- 7. Regarding claims 1 and 2, Bernstein discloses a waste receptacle (Figures 1-6, column 3, lines 16-22) having an exterior wall (20), an interior receptacle defined by the interior of the wall and an interior bag closure mechanism (24) that is operable from the exterior (column 3, lines16-28) and closes a bag (26) disposed in the receptacle.
- 8. Regarding claim 4, the bag closure mechanism comprises a rotator assembly (24) that grasps the open section of the bag and rotates to close the bag (column 3, lines 36-50 and lines 58-65).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,382 Page 4

Art Unit: 3781

9. Regarding claim 6, the outer collar of the lid (76) and the cover latches (36) act as handles for moving the rotating member.

- 10. Regarding claim 7, the ribs (78) in the lid act as clamps to clamp the upper edge of the bag to the rotating member (column 3, lines 59-65).
- 11. Regarding claim 17, Bernstein discloses a waste receptacle (Figures 1-6, column 3, lines 16-22) having an exterior wall (20), an interior receptacle defined by the interior of the wall and an interior bag closure mechanism (24) with a rotator assembly (24) that grasps the open section of the bag and rotates to close the bag (column 3, lines 36-50 and lines 58-65).
- 12. Regarding claim 18, the rotator assembly is operable externally.
- 13. Regarding claim 19, the outer collar of the lid (76) and the cover latches (36) act as handles for moving the rotating member.
- 14. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sumanis (5,125,526)
- 15. Regarding claims 1 and 2, Sumanis discloses a waste receptacle (Figures 1-6) with an exterior wall (13), an interior receptacle (40), and a mechanism for interior bag closure operable form the exterior.
- 16. Regarding claim 3, the interior receptacle is separated from the exterior wall by a gap.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3781

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

- 18. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernstein in view of Phillips (3,321,103). Bernstein discloses the invention except for a clamp to releasably secure the bottom end of the bag. Phillips discloses a waste receptacle where the bag is closed by rotating an assembly that grasps the open top end of the bag and Phillips further discloses a clamp that releasably secures the bottom of the bag to prevent it from rotating when the bag is closed (41, Figure 2, column 2, lines 13-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of a clamp securing the bottom of the bag as disclosed by Phillips in the receptacle disclosed by Bernstein to prevent the bottom of the bag from rotating while the bag is being closed.
- 19. Claims 8 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernstein in view of Melnikov (4,030,629). Bernstein discloses the invention of claims 7 and 17 and further discloses the rotating member is received in a cavity (48) of the exterior wall for rotation (column 3, lines 36-43). Bernstein does not teach the use of ball bearings. Melnikov discloses the use of ball bearings (18) in a rotating assembly used to close a flexible opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of ball bearings in the cavity with the rotating member as disclosed by Melnikov in the cavity with the rotating member in the receptacle disclosed by Bernstein to make movement of the rotator assembly smoother and easier.

Art Unit: 3781

20. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sumanis in view of Scanlan (5,121,590).

- 21. Regarding claim 9, Sumanis discloses the invention with a cover that engages an upper rim of the exterior wall but does not teach the use of an exhaust system. Scanlan discloses a container with an exhaust system (Figure 2, column 3, line 66 to column 4, line 24) where the center portion of the lid (defined by a ridge on the interior of the lid) acts as the hood with an exhaust port (21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of an exhaust system as disclosed by Scanlan in the receptacle disclosed by Sumanis to seal the lid tightly when the receptacle is closed.
- 22. Regarding claim 10, the receptacle of Sumanis as modified by Scanlan includes a vacuum pump (38, Figure 5, column 5, lines 45-48).
- 23. Regarding claim 11, the receptacle of Sumanis as modified by Scanlan includes a rubber sealing portion engaging the upper rim (19, Figure 2, column 4, lines 11-16).
- 24. Regarding claim 12, the receptacle of Sumanis as modified by Scanlan includes a shutoff valve (16, column 4, lines 26-28).
- 25. Regarding claim 13, the receptacle of Sumanis as modified by Scanlan comprises a foot pedal that opens the cover.
- 26. Claims 9, 10, 14, 15, 20, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernstein in view of Terui et al (JP 01252401A, October 9, 1989) (Terui).

Art Unit: 3781

- 27. Regarding claim 9, Bernstein discloses the invention with a lid that engages an upper rim of the exterior wall and a section of the cover with a deodorant basket assembly but does not teach the use of an exhaust system. Terui discloses a waste receptacle with an exhaust system in the cover (Figures 3-5, abstract) with an exhaust port (6) to sterilize and deodorize the air in the receptacle and exhaust it. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of the exhaust system as disclosed by Terui in the receptacle disclosed by Bernstein to replace the deodorant basket so as to both sterilize and deodorize the air in the receptacle and exhaust it.
- 28. Regarding claim 10, the receptacle of Bernstein as modified by Terui includes a pump, the fan (11) that acts as a pump in moving the air.
- 29. Regarding claim 14, the receptacle of Bernstein as modified by Terui includes a double walled perimeter with the outer wall for the hood being the exterior wall of the cover and a gas permeable interior wall (5 in Terui) with the exhaust port (6) in the outer wall.
- 30. Regarding claim 15, the receptacle of Bernstein as modified by Terui has a gas permeable inner wall (5) that is perforated (Terui, Figures 3 and 4).
- 31. Regarding claim 20, Bernstein discloses the invention of claim 17 with a cover but does not teach an exhaust hood beneath said cover. Terui discloses a waste receptacle with an exhaust system in the cover (Figures 3-5, abstract) with an exhaust port (6) to sterilize and deodorize the air in the receptacle and exhaust it. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 3781

have incorporated the use of the exhaust system as disclosed by Terui in the receptacle disclosed by Bernstein to replace the deodorant basket so as to both sterilize and deorderize the air in the receptacle and exhaust it.

32. Regarding claims 22 and 23, Bernstein provides a trash receptacle with an exterior wall and an interior that is a receptacle for receiving a bag, a cover that sealably engages the exterior wall. Bernstein further discloses opening the cover, introducing trash, closing the cover and closing the bag using an interior bag closure mechanism that rotates an open upper section of the bag (column 6, lines 43-66).

Bernstein does not teach an exhaust hood beneath said cover. Terui discloses a waste receptacle with an exhaust system in the cover (Figures 3-5, abstract) with an exhaust port (6) to sterilize and deodorize the air in the receptacle and exhaust it. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of the exhaust system as disclosed by Terui in the receptacle disclosed by Bernstein to replace the deodorant basket so as to both sterilize and deorderize the air in the receptacle and exhaust it.

33. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernstein in view or Terui and Yang et al (7,077,283). Bernstein discloses a waste receptacle (Figures 1-6, column 3, lines 16-28) having an exterior wall (20) and an interior bag closure mechanism (24) that is operable from the exterior (column 6, lines 62-66). Bernstein does not teach an interior receptacle spaced from the exterior wall.

Yang et al discloses a waste receptacle with an interior receptacle (50, Figures 1 and 5)

Art Unit: 3781

spaced from the exterior wall for holding an interior trash bag and facilitating more convenient replacement of the trash bag (column 1, line 57 to column 2, line 3).

Bernstein does not teach an exhaust hood beneath said cover. Terui discloses a waste receptacle with an exhaust system in the cover (Figures 3-5, abstract) with an exhaust port (6) to sterilize and deodorize the air in the receptacle and exhaust it. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of the exhaust system as disclosed by Terui in the receptacle disclosed by Bernstein to replace the deodorant basket so as to both sterilize and deorderize the air in the receptacle and exhaust it.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry A. Grosso whose telephone number is 571-272-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 3781** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Stashick

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3781

hag